



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/615,383 07/13/2000 Marc S. Casalaina 21113-04968 3220 22830 7590 11/17/2004 EXAMINER CARR & FERRELL LLP TRAN, PHILIP B 2200 GENG ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PALO ALTO, CA 94303 2155

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/615,383	CASALAINA, MARC S.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Philip B Tran	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reploate. It reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT leatute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>6 July 2004</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ -	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum  application from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20041110

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3 and 5-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Banga et al (Hereafter, Banga), "Optimistic Deltas for WWW Latency Reduction", In Proc. 1997 SENIX Technical Conf., pp. 289-303, Anaheim, CA, January, 1997.

Regarding claim 1, Banga teaches a method for updating a configuration page on a user side of an Internet based configuration system without having to contact a server side of the configuration system, the method comprising a responsive to the user side receiving a user input that is associated with delta configuration page information available at the user side, retrieving the delta configuration page information without having to contact the server side of the configuration system, and updating the configuration page based on the delta configuration page information (i.e., sending delta page for updating the changes from the proxy cache to client without making contact with the content provider) [see Abstract and Pages 295-297].

Regarding claim 2, Banga further teaches the delta configuration page information is comprised of a control delta that represents changes in a control of the

Serial Number: 09/615,383

Art Unit: 2155

Paper Dated 20041110

Page 3

configuration page as a result of the user input (i.e., deltas controlling based upon client

request) [see Page 295].

Regarding claim 3, Banga further teaches the delta configuration page

information embodies preprocessed configuration engine computations that are

responsive to the user input (i.e., computing the delta) [see Page 295].

Regarding claims 5-6, Banga further teaches the updating step is performed by a

process that is embedded in the configuration page and the delta page information is

embedded in the configuration page [see Pages 295-296].

Regarding claims 7-8, Banga teaches a method for transmitting product

configuration information generated by a server side of an Internet-based configuration

system to user side of the configuration system, the method comprising a generating a

configuration page that allows a user to provide a user input to the configuration system.

associating delta configuration page information with the configuration page, and

transmitting the configuration page and the delta configuration page information to the

user side from the server side (i.e., sending delta page for updating the changes from

the proxy cache to client) [see Abstract and Pages 295-297].

Claims 9-10 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 5-6.

Claims 11-12 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 2-3.

Serial Number: 09/615,383

Art Unit: 2155

Paper Dated 20041110

Page 4

Regarding claim 13, Banga further teaches transmitting a process for updating the configuration page with the delta configuration page information to the user side from the server side [see Abstract].

Claims 14, 16, 18, 20 and 21 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 7.

Claim 15 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 11.

Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim

1.

Claims 22-23 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 2.

Claim 24 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 25 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 2.

Claim 26 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 7.

Claim 27 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 11.

Claims 28-29 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 10.

Claim 30 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 7 and 13.

Regarding claims 31-32, Banga further teaches wherein the delta page information is embedded in the received new page and is received before the new page [see Pages 295-296].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20041110

Claims 33-34 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 1011.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banga et al (Hereafter, Banga), "Optimistic Deltas for WWW Latency Reduction", In Proc. 1997 SENIX Technical Conf., pp. 289-303, Anaheim, CA, January, 1997 in view of Sutcliffe et al (Hereafter, Sutcliffe), U.S. Pat. No. 6,253,216.

Regarding claim 4, Banga does not explicitly teach the updating step further comprises layering the delta configuration page information that is associated with the user input into the configuration page via Dynamic HTML. However, Sutcliffe, in the same field of configuration page information endeavor, discloses the use of dynamic HTML [see Col. 8, Lines 24-65]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement dynamic HTML because it would have enhanced the user to register and update personalized information on the web [see Col. 8, Lines 37-39].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20041110

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons :

Banga teaches a method and system for updating a configuration page on a user side of an Internet based configuration system without having to contact a server side of the configuration system, the method comprising a responsive to the user side receiving a user input that is associated with delta configuration page information available at the user side, retrieving the delta configuration page information without having to contact the server side of the configuration system, and updating the configuration page based on the delta configuration page information. For example, sending delta page for updating the changes from the proxy cache to client without making contact with the content provider. Transferring optimistically data that may be out of date and then sending either subsequent confirmation that the data is current or a delta to change the older version to the current one. In addition, if both sides of the slow link already store the same older version, just the delta need to be transferred to update it. Thus, it significantly reduce the latency of traffic over the network [see Abstract and Pages 295-297]. The examiner respectfully maintains that proxy is not considered as part of server side as argued by applicant. As a result, delta page information is retrieved without having contact the server side of the configuration system as disclosed by Banga as shown above.

Other independent claims are merely variations of claim 1. Therefore, the examiner asserts that Banga teaches or suggests the subject matter broadly recited in

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20041110

independent claims. Claims 2-6, 8-13, 22-23, 25, 27-29 and 31-34 are also rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on independent claims and by other reasons set forth above. Accordingly, rejections of claims 1-34 are respectfully maintained.

- 6. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS, OR THIRTY DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CAR 1.136(A).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam, can be reached on (571) 272-3978.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Philip B. Tran Art Unit 2155 Nov 10, 2004